

Structure of the Time Projection for Stopping Times in von Neumann Algebras

Andrzej Łuczak¹

Received August 14, 2004; accepted September 2, 2004

We give an explicit formula for the time projection in an arbitrary von Neumann algebra from which all its basic properties can be easily derived. The analysis of the situation when this time projection is a conditional expectation is also performed.

KEY WORDS: operator algebras; functional analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of these notes is to investigate some properties of the time projection for a stopping time in a von Neumann algebra. This is done solely by using an explicit formula for the projection, without any reference to stochastic integration. In particular, we obtain simple conditions for stopping a noncommutative martingale. The problem of when the time projection can be treated as a conditional expectation is also addressed. Its solution, known in the case of the Clifford probability gauge space, is thus generalized to a fairly general context.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

Throughout the paper \mathcal{A} will denote a von Neumann algebra acting in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with a cyclic and separating vector Ω . ω will stand for a (normal faithful) vector state on \mathcal{A} induced by Ω . Let $(\mathcal{A}_t : t \geq 0)$ be a filtration of \mathcal{A} , i.e. an increasing net of von Neumann subalgebras of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\infty := (\cup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_t)''$. We assume that there are normal conditional expectations \mathbb{E}_t , $t \geq 0$, from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{A}_t leaving ω invariant. It follows easily (cf. [Barnett and Thakrar, 1987, Proposition 1.2]) that if we define

$$P_t(x\Omega) = (\mathbb{E}_t x)\Omega, \quad x \in \mathcal{A},$$

¹Faculty of Mathematics, Łódź University, ul. Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland; e-mail: anluczak@math.uni.lodz.pl.

then P_t is a projection from \mathcal{H} onto $\mathcal{H}_t = \overline{\mathcal{A}_t\Omega}$, consequently, $P_t \in \mathcal{A}'_t$; we have also $\mathbb{E}_t\mathbb{E}_s = \mathbb{E}_s\mathbb{E}_t = \mathbb{E}_{s \wedge t}$. In what follows we shall be concerned with the “time parameter” t belonging either to the interval $[0, +\infty)$ or to the interval $[0, u]$, where $0 < u \leq +\infty$. Accordingly, we adopt the following definition. A (quantum, noncommutative) stopping time τ is an increasing net $(q_t), t \in [0, +\infty)$ or $[0, +\infty]$ of projections such that $q_t \in \mathcal{A}_t, q_0 = 0$, and $\bigvee_{t \geq 0} q_t = \mathbf{1}$ in the case $t \in [0, +\infty)$ or $q_\infty = \mathbf{1}$ in the case $t \in [0, +\infty]$. The definition above is a proper generalization of the notion of the classical (commutative) stopping time (cf. Barnett and Lyons, 1986; Barnett and Thakrar, 1987, 1990; Barnett and Wilde, 1990 for more information). A fairly general theory of stopping a noncommutative process has so far been achieved only for martingales. Let us briefly recall its main points here.

A martingale in \mathcal{H} is a process $(\xi(t): t \geq 0)$ such that $\xi(t) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ and for each $s, t \geq 0, s \leq t$,

$$P_s \xi(t) = \xi(s).$$

If we allow $t \in [0, +\infty]$ then it follows that there is $\xi(=\xi(\infty))$ such that $\xi(t) = P_t \xi$; such martingales are called closed, and it is not difficult to see that the following conditions are equivalent: (i) $(\xi(t))$ is closed (ii) $\sup_t \|\xi(t)\| < +\infty$ (iii) there exists $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \xi(t)$ (cf. [Barnett and Wilde, 1990, Proposition 1.1]).

Now stopping $(\xi(t))$ consists in the following procedure. For interval $[0, u]$ ($u = +\infty$ if $(\xi(t))$ is closed) we consider its partition $\theta = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = u\}$, and form the sum

$$\xi_{\tau(\theta)} = \sum_{i=1}^n (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) \xi(t_i). \tag{1}$$

Taking the limit of the net $\{\xi_{\tau(\theta)}: \theta\text{-partition}\}$ as θ refines, gives us the stopped element $\xi_\tau(u)$, which is all we need if $u = +\infty$; however, if $u < +\infty$ it seems reasonable to define ξ_τ as $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \xi_\tau(u)$.

The existence of the two limits above is by no means obvious. It turns out that while the limit in (1) does exist it need not be so with the other one, and thus we are guaranteed only of the possibility of stopping a closed martingale. To analyze $\xi_{\tau(\theta)}$ observe that the martingale property yields

$$\xi(t_i) = P_{t_i} \xi(u), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and hence

$$\xi_{\tau(\theta)} = \sum_{i=1}^n (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) P_{t_i} \xi(u). \tag{2}$$

Put

$$M_{\tau(\theta)}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})P_{t_i}.$$

Then $M_{\tau(\theta)}(u)$ is a projection in \mathcal{H} (recall that $P_{t_i} \in \mathcal{A}'_{t_i}$, $q_{t_{i-1}}, q_{t_i} \in \mathcal{A}_{t_i}$). It is easily seen that the net $\{M_{\tau(\theta)}(u): \theta\text{-partition}\}$ decreases, so there exists $\lim_{\theta} M_{\tau(\theta)}(u)$ which we denote by $M_{\tau}(u)$ and call the time projection; it is also clear that

$$M_{\tau}(u) = \bigwedge_{\theta} M_{\tau(\theta)}(u).$$

Accordingly, we have by (2)

$$\xi_{\tau}(u) = \lim_{\theta} \xi_{\tau(\theta)} = \lim_{\theta} M_{\tau(\theta)}(u)\xi(u) = M_{\tau}(u)\xi(u).$$

If $u = +\infty$ we shall write M_{τ} instead of $M_{\tau}(\infty)$; note that this is the case considered in Barnett and Lyons (1986), Barnett and Thakrar (1990), Barnett and Wilde (1990), and mainly in Barnett and Thakrar (1987). However, in Barnett and Thakrar (1987) a more general setting that we have defined above is also taken into account.

As a final remark let us observe that the definition of the time projection as well as the results of the next section could be obtained for Haagerup's $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \omega)$ -space and the algebra \mathcal{A} acting on it by left multiplication, especially in view of a spatial isomorphism between the representations $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \Omega)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, L^2(\mathcal{A}, \omega), h_{\omega}^{1/2})$ where $h_{\omega}^{1/2}$ is a cyclic and separating vector in $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \omega)$. The reasons for which we have adopted a more traditional approach lie in Section 3. There we want to treat the time projection, which is a projection in a Hilbert space, as a projection in the algebra \mathcal{A} , and passing from one to another is much more straightforward in our original setup where we have a natural embedding of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{H} given by $\mathcal{A} \ni x \mapsto x\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$.

3. REPRESENTATION OF THE TIME PROJECTION

In this section we analyze various properties of the time projection by means of an explicit formula expressing it in terms of the P_t and q_t .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u \in (0, +\infty]$. Then*

$$M_{\tau}(u) = \bigwedge_{t \leq u} (q_u - q_t P_t^{\perp}). \tag{3}$$

Proof: Take the partition $\theta_0 = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 = u\}$. We have

$$M_{\tau}(u) \leq M_{\tau(\theta_0)} = (q_{t_1} - q_{t_0})P_{t_1} = q_u P_u \leq q_u. \quad \square$$

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, and assume that $M_\tau(u)\xi = q_u\xi$. For an arbitrary $t \in [0, u]$ we have

$$M_\tau(u) \leq (q_t - q_0)P_t + (q_u - q_t)P_u \leq q_u,$$

giving the equality

$$(q_t - q_0)P_t\xi + (q_u - q_t)P_u\xi = q_u\xi.$$

Applying q_t to both sides yields

$$q_t P_t \xi = q_t \xi. \tag{4}$$

Conversely, if for each $t \in [0, u]$ equality (4) holds, then for any $s \leq t$ we have, applying q_s to both sides of (4),

$$q_s P_t \xi = q_s \xi,$$

and for any partition $\theta = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = u\}$

$$M_{\tau(\theta)}(u)\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})P_{t_i}\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n (q_{t_i}\xi - q_{t_{i-1}}\xi) = q_{t_n}\xi - q_{t_0}\xi = q_u\xi,$$

hence

$$M_\tau(u)\xi = \lim_{\theta} M_{\tau(\theta)}(u)\xi = q_u\xi.$$

We have thus obtained equivalence of the following conditions:

- (i) $M_\tau(u)\xi = q_u\xi$
- (ii) for each $t \in [0, u]$ $q_t P_t \xi = q_t \xi$,

or put in another way

- (i') $[q_u - M_\tau(u)]\xi = 0$
- (ii') for each $t \in [0, u]$ $q_t P_t^\perp \xi = 0$.

But condition (ii') is equivalent to the equality

$$\left(\bigvee_{t \leq u} q_t P_t^\perp \right) \xi = 0,$$

which means that the projections $q_u - M_\tau(u)$ and $\bigvee_{t \leq u} q_t P_t^\perp$ have the same null spaces, so they must be equal:

$$q_u - M_\tau(u) = \bigvee_{t \leq u} q_t P_t^\perp.$$

Consequently,

$$M_\tau(u) = q_u - \bigvee_{t \leq u} q_t P_t^\perp = \bigwedge_{t \leq u} (q_u - q_t P_t^\perp).$$

Corollary 3.1. *If $u = +\infty$ then*

$$M_\tau = \bigwedge_{t \geq 0} (q_t^\perp + q_t P_t). \quad (5)$$

Indeed, we then have

$$q_\infty - q_t P_t^\perp = \mathbf{1} - q_t P_t^\perp = q_t^\perp + q_t P_t,$$

and for $t = +\infty$

$$q_\infty^\perp + q_\infty P_\infty = \mathbf{1},$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned} M_\tau = M_\tau(\infty) &= \bigwedge_{0 \leq t \leq +\infty} (q_\infty - q_t P_t^\perp) = \bigwedge_{0 \leq t \leq +\infty} (q_t^\perp + q_t P_t) \\ &= \bigwedge_{0 \leq t < +\infty} (q_t^\perp + q_t P_t). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let the set $\{M_\tau \xi(t) : t \in [0, +\infty)\}$ be norm-bounded. Then the martingale $(\xi(t))$ can be stopped and*

$$\xi_\tau = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_\tau \xi(t).$$

Proof: Put

$$\eta(t) = M_\tau \xi(t). \quad (6)$$

□

For each $s, t \in [0, +\infty)$ we have

$$P_s (q_t^\perp + q_t P_t) = \begin{cases} P_s q_t^\perp + P_s q_t = P_s & \text{for } s \leq t \\ P_s q_t^\perp + q_t P_t & \text{for } s > t \end{cases} = (q_t^\perp + q_t P_t) P_s,$$

and from (5) we get

$$P_s M_\tau = M_\tau P_s.$$

If $s \leq t$, then

$$P_s \eta(t) = P_s M_\tau \eta(t) = M_\tau P_s \eta(t) = M_\tau \eta(s) = \eta(s),$$

which shows that $(\eta(t))$ is a martingale, and since it is norm-bounded, we have $\eta(t) \rightarrow \eta$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, for some $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$. From (6) we have

$$M_\tau(t) \eta(t) = M_\tau(t) M_\tau \xi(t) = M_\tau(t) \xi(t) = \xi_\tau(t).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_\tau(t)\eta(t) - M_\tau\eta\| &\leq \|M_\tau(t)[\eta(t) - \eta]\| + \|[M_\tau(t) - M_\tau]\eta\| \leq \|\eta(t) - \eta\| \\ &+ \|[M_\tau(t) - M_\tau]\eta\| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_\tau(t) = M_\tau$, consequently

$$\xi_\tau(t) = M_\tau(t)\eta(t) \rightarrow M_\tau\eta.$$

But $M_\tau\eta(t) = \eta(t)$, and thus $M_\tau\eta = \eta$, giving

$$\xi_\tau = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \xi_\tau(t) = M_\tau\eta = \eta = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_\tau\xi(t).$$

Observe that the result of the last theorem perfectly agrees with what we have for a closed martingale where also

$$\xi_\tau = M_\tau\xi = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_\tau\xi(t).$$

4. TIME PROJECTION AS A CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION

In this section we consider a question when the time projection can be treated as a conditional expectation. A problem of this type was analyzed in Barnett and Lyons (1986) for the Clifford probability gauge space and solved by using some properties of the Clifford quantum stochastic integral. The solution we give here works in the general context of an arbitrary von Neumann algebra; moreover it is simple and does not employ any theory of stochastic integration.

Let $\tau = (q_t : t \in [0, +\infty])$ be a stopping time, and let M_τ be the time projection. M_τ can be treated as a conditional expectation if

$$M_\tau(x\Omega) = y\Omega,$$

and the map $\mathbb{E}_\tau : x \mapsto y$ is a conditional expectation. We then have

$$(\mathbb{E}_\tau x)\Omega = M_\tau(x\Omega).$$

Put

$$\mathcal{B}_\tau = \{x \in \mathcal{A}: \text{for each } t \geq 0 \quad xq_t = q_t x\} = \mathcal{A} \cap \{q_t : t \in [0, +\infty]\}'.$$

For any partition $\theta = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = +\infty\}$ let

$$\mathcal{A}_{\tau(\theta)} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}: xq_{t_i} = q_{t_i}x \in \mathcal{A}_{t_i}, i = 0, 1, \dots, n\},$$

and let

$$\mathcal{A}_\tau = \bigcap_{\theta} \mathcal{A}_{\tau(\theta)} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}: \text{for each } t \geq 0 \quad xq_t = q_t x \in \mathcal{A}_t\}.$$

Theorem 4.1. $M_\tau | \mathcal{B}$ is a normal faithful conditional expectation onto \mathcal{A}_τ leaving ω invariant.

Proof: For a partition $\theta = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = +\infty\}$ define on \mathcal{B}_τ the map $\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x &= \sum_{i=1}^n (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) \mathbb{E}_{t_i}x = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{t_i}((q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}_{t_i}x)(q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}), \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

□

For each $t \in [0, +\infty]$ we have $t_{j-i} \leq t < t_j$ with some j , so

$$\begin{aligned} q_t \mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x &= q_t \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) \mathbb{E}_{t_i}x + q_t (q_{t_j} - q_{t_{j-1}}) \mathbb{E}_{t_j}x + q_t \sum_{i=j+1}^n (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) \mathbb{E}_{t_i}x \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) \mathbb{E}_{t_i}x + (q_t - q_{t_{j-1}}) \mathbb{E}_{t_j}x, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x)q_t &= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\mathbb{E}_{t_i}x)(q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})q_t + (\mathbb{E}_{t_j}x)(q_{t_j} - q_{t_{j-1}})q_t \\ &+ \sum_{i=j+1}^n (\mathbb{E}_{t_i}x)(q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})q_t = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\mathbb{E}_{t_i}x)(q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) + (\mathbb{E}_{t_j}x)(q_t - q_{t_{j-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

But for $x \in \mathcal{B}_\tau$

$$(q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) \mathbb{E}_{t_i}x = \mathbb{E}_{t_i}((q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})x) = (\mathbb{E}_{t_i}x)(q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}),$$

and

$$(q_t - q_{t_{j-1}}) \mathbb{E}_{t_j}x = \mathbb{E}_{t_j}x((q_t - q_{t_{j-1}})x) = (\mathbb{E}_{t_j}x)(q_t - q_{t_{j-1}}),$$

which shows that

$$q_t \mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x = (\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x)q_t,$$

i.e., $\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x \in \mathcal{B}_\tau$. Furthermore, for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$

$$q_{t_j} \mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x = \sum_{i=1}^j (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) \mathbb{E}_{t_i}x = \sum_{i=1}^j (\mathbb{E}_{t_i}x)(q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) = (\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x)q_{t_j},$$

showing that $\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau(\theta)}$. For $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau(\theta)}$ we have $\mathbb{E}_{t_i}x = x$, hence

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x + \sum_{i=1}^n (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})x = x$$

which means that $\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}$ is a projection from \mathcal{B}_{τ} onto $\mathcal{B}_{\tau} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\tau(\theta)}$. If $x \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau}^+$, then $(q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})x = (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})x(q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}}) \geq 0$, so

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x = \sum_{i=1}^n (q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})\mathbb{E}_{t_i}x = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{t_i}((q_{t_i} - q_{t_{i-1}})x) \geq 0,$$

thus $\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}$ is positive. Since $\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$, we infer that $\|\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}\| = 1$, and by virtue of [Stratila, 1981, Theorem 9.1, p. 116], $\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}$ is a conditional expectation. We have

$$(\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x)\Omega = M_{\tau(\theta)}(x\Omega), \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau}.$$

Put

$$x_{\theta} = \mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x.$$

Then $\{x_{\theta}\}$ is a bounded net of elements in \mathcal{A} , and for each $x' \in \mathcal{A}'$

$$x_{\theta}(x'\Omega) = x'(x_{\theta}\Omega) = x'(\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x)\Omega = x'M_{\tau(\theta)}(x\Omega) \rightarrow x'M_{\tau}(x\Omega).$$

Thus the net $\{x_{\theta}\}$ converges on the dense subspace $\mathcal{A}'\Omega$ of \mathcal{H} , and since $\|x_{\theta}\| \leq \|x\|$, it follows that $\{x_{\theta}\}$ converges in the strong operator topology on \mathcal{A} , consequently, there is $y \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x_{\theta} \rightarrow y$ strongly.

Let

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tau}x = y = \lim_{\theta} x_{\theta} = \lim_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau}.$$

Clearly, \mathbb{E}_{τ} is a linear positive map on \mathcal{B}_{τ} , such that

$$(\mathbb{E}_{\tau}x)\Omega = M_{\tau}(x\Omega), \quad x \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau}.$$

Since $\mathbb{E}_{\tau}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$, we have $\|\mathbb{E}_{\tau}\| = 1$. For any partition θ and $x \in \mathcal{B}_{\tau}$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}(\mathbb{E}_{\tau}x)\Omega = M_{\tau(\theta)}((\mathbb{E}_{\tau}x)\Omega) = M_{\tau(\theta)}M_{\tau}(x\Omega) = M_{\tau}(x\Omega) = (\mathbb{E}_{\tau}x)\Omega,$$

showing that $\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}\mathbb{E}_{\tau} = \mathbb{E}_{\tau}$, since Ω is separating.

Accordingly, $\mathbb{E}_{\tau}x \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau(\theta)}$ for each θ , and it follows that $\mathbb{E}_{\tau}x \in \bigcap_{\theta} \mathcal{A}_{\tau(\theta)} = \mathcal{A}_{\tau}$. Furthermore, if $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau}$, then $\mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x = x$ for each θ , so

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tau}x = \lim_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)}x = x,$$

which means that \mathbb{E}_{τ} is a projection onto \mathcal{A}_{τ} , and thus a conditional expectation. From the equality

$$\omega \circ \mathbb{E}_{\tau(\theta)} = \omega,$$

we obtain

$$\omega \circ \mathbb{E}_\tau = \omega,$$

which, since \mathbb{E}_τ is positive, implies faithfulness and normality of \mathbb{E}_τ .

Let us observe that in an entirely analogous way we can obtain a corresponding result for the time projection $M_\tau(u)$.

Indeed, putting

$$\mathcal{B}_\tau(u) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : \text{foreach } t \leq u \quad xq_t = q_t x\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\tau(u) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : \text{foreach } t \leq u \quad xq_t = q_t x \in \mathcal{A}_t\},$$

we get that $M_\tau(u)|\mathcal{B}_\tau(u)$ is a conditional expectation onto $q_u \mathcal{A}_\tau(u)q_u$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Work supported by KBN grant no. 2 PO3A 04410.

REFERENCES

- Barnett, C. and Lyons, T. (1986). Stopping non-commutative processes. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **99**, 151–161.
- Barnett, C. and Thakrar, B. (1987). Time projections in a von Neumann algebra. *Journal of Operator Theory* **10**, 19–31.
- Barnett, C. and Thakrar, B. (1990). A non-commutative random stopping theorem, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **88**, 342–350.
- Barnett, C. and Wilde, I. F. (1990). Random times and time projections. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **110**, 425–440.
- Stratila, S. (1981). *Modular Theory in Operator Algebras*, Editura Academiei, Bucuresti and Abacus, Tunbridge Wells.